

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/804,944 | 03/19/2004 | Peter Rother | P04,0081 | 8087 | |
| 7590 02/15/2006 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| SCHIFF HARDIN LLP | | | KIKNADZE, TRAKLI | | |
| Patent Department 6600 Sears Tower | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 233 South Wacker Drive | | | 2882 | | |
| Chicago, IL 60606 | | | DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/804,944 | ROTHER ET AL. | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kiknadza Irakli | 2882 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | Kiknadze, Irakli | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sneet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of New period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | | • • | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | mendment which places the or (3) a timely filed Request for | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | | the statutory period of three months | | | | |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due. | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the Notice of | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | sentative capacity under 37 CFR | | | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | se the period for seeking court review | | | | |
| 7. 🔲 The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Fix | Zalitus Zing Barbara J Debnam | | | | |
| | | Management & Program Analyst Art Unit: 3900 | | | | |